

	TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	GROOTVLEI POWER STATION
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Title: **Technical Evaluation Criteria for Supply, delivery, install and service of the IC instrument.** Document Identifier: **GVL/0720**

Alternative Reference N/A
Number:

Area of Applicability: **Grootvlei Power Station**

Functional Area: **Engineering Chemical
Services**

Revision: **1**

Total Pages: **14**

Next Review Date: **AS REQUIRED**

Disclosure
Classification: **Controlled Disclosure**

Compiled by

Supported by

Authorized by

.....

Content	Page
1. Introduction.....	3
2. Supporting Clauses.....	3
2.1 Scope 3	
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability.....	3
2.1.3 Effective date	3
2.2 Normative/Informative References.....	4
2.2.1 Normative	4
2.2.2 Informative	4
2.3 Definitions.....	4
2.3.1 Classification.....	4
2.4 Abbreviations	4
2.5 Roles and Responsibilities	4
2.6 Process for Monitoring	4
2.7 Related/Supporting Documents.....	5
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THERESHOLD	5
3.2 TET MEMBERS	6
3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	7
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	8
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES.....	11
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	12
3.7 Exceptions / Conditions	12
4. Acceptance.....	13
5. Revisions	13
6. Development Team.....	13
7. Acknowledgement	13

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

1. Introduction

Grootvlei Power Station consists of 3 wet cooled units which produce 200MW each at full load, during the process of electricity generation, the station uses anion results from Ion Chromatography to minimize corrosive anions. These ions contribute to turbine corrosion, boiler tube leaks, cooling tower structures corrosion and permanent scaling in condenser. Grootvlei power station require this instrument to be able to perform the necessary chemistry monitoring.

2. Supporting Clauses

2.1 Scope

Supply, delivery of Ion Chromatography analyzer, install instrument, train staff on how to use it and service the supplied instrument for 60 months on as and when required basis. Supply of consumables.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply to Grootvlei Power Station.

2.1.3 Effective date

Not Applicable

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.2 Normative/Informative References

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] The provision of Ion Chromatography analyzer at Grootvlei Power Station, Water laboratory.

2.2.2 Informative

Not Applicable.

2.3 Definitions

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
N/A	Not Applicable
IC	Ion Chromatography
PBB	Parts Per Billion
PPM	Parts Per Million

2.5 Roles and Responsibilities

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 Process for Monitoring

Not applicable

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.7 Related/Supporting Documents

Not applicable

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THERESHOLD

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (gatekeepers) are 'must meet' criteria. These criteria shall not be weighted, or point scored but shall be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether the criteria are met. An assessment of 'No' against any criterion shall technically disqualify the tenderer and the tenderer shall not be further evaluated against Qualitative Criteria.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion.

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 75% on Section 1 and 100% on section 2 of technical evaluation. Section 2 is considered the most important section since it talks more about instrument performance and production of reliable results.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET MEMBERS

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Sabelo Hlatshwayo	Snr Supervisor Tech Chemistry
TET 2	Mpho Netshidzati	Snr Supervisor Tech Chemistry
TET 3	Beverly Makaleng	Technician Chemistry

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Motivation for use of Criteria
1	Technical Data Sheet of the instrument including drawings and how it operates. (This must include full view of instrument)	Instrument that does not have technical data sheet does not give details of how it operates.
2	Supplier Must have workshop. Show the Picture of the Workshop and address of the workshop.	Supplier workshop that will be used to repair instruments and testing.
3	Supplier Must be the OEM or having long term agreement with the OEM signed and stamped by OEM. Or The supplier is the sole supplier of the instrument in South Africa. Proof of sole supplier letter)	This will help with after sale service for 60 Months.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner or form by third parties without the written consent of Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, © copyright Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Detailed technical evaluation: Section one to be completed before visiting workshop of suppliers for completion of section 2.

Section 1:

	Factor	Weight	feedback	Score of Weight	Suppliers Score		
1	Instrument able to detect the following elements or more in ppb and ppm: Chlorides and Sulphates in PPB. Chlorides, Sulphates, Nitrites, Nitrates, Fluorides, Phosphate and Bromide in PPM	60%	Instrument detects all mentioned elements and more.	100			
			Instrument unable to detect all mentioned elements but can detect Chlorides and sulphates in PPB and Chlorides, Sulphates and Phosphates	40			

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

			Instrument detects only Chlorides and Sulphates in ppb and Chlorides and Sulphates in PPM	20			
2	Instrument must use computer, Auto sampler, software and data stored in software with traceability.	40%	Uses computer software, Auto sampler for analysis and store data in software installed in computer. With traceability of data.	100			
			Uses computer software, Auto sampler for analysis and Do not	50			

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

			store data in software installed in computer. With no traceability of data.				
			Do not use software in a computer and no Auto sampler.	20			
	Total	100%					

Threshold

The threshold on the technical evaluation criteria is 75%for section 1. Suppliers / Service providers would be deemed technically unacceptable if they score less than the threshold score and will thus not be evaluated further to section 2.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Section 2 All who passed section one technical evaluation will be evaluated further to section 2. End-user to visit workshops of suppliers to do analysis of supplier standards (ppb and ppm standard) using instrument that is similar to the one that is to be supplied to Grootvlei power station.

	Factor	Weight	feedback	Score of Weight	Suppliers Score		
1	Instrument analysing standard in PPB (ranges between 1ppb to 10ppb of more) and standard in PPM (1ppm to 15ppm or more).	100%	Results within ± 2 x standard deviation of true value.	100			
			Results above ± 2 x standard deviation and below ± 3 x standard deviation of true value.	70			
			Results reading outside control limits, above ± 3 x standard deviation of true value.	10			
	Total	100%					

For supplier to be considered technical acceptable should pass the second section of technical evaluation by 100%. Suppliers who passed will be evaluated further.

Feedback: _____

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner or form by third parties without the written consent of Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, © copyright Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30

Rating for Technical Criteria

Rating for Individual Technical Criteria	%
Completely Meets Technical Requirement	100
Mostly Meets Technical Requirement	75
Partially Meets Technical Requirement	50
Mostly Does Not Meet Technical Requirement	25
Does not Meet Technical Requirement	0

3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3
1	X	X	X
2	X	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	
Section 1			
1	X	X	X
2	X	X	X
3	X	X	X
Section 2			
1		X	X

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner or form by third parties without the written consent of Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, © copyright Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30

3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

3.7 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner or form by third parties without the written consent of Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, © copyright Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30

4. Acceptance

This document has been seen and accepted by:

5. Revisions

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
October 2025	1	M Netshidzati	Final Document

6. Development Team

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

7. Acknowledgement

None

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE